

REMARKS

The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Allowable Subject Matter

As an initial matter, the Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the search and for finding allowable subject matter. The Examiner has indicated that claims 21-26, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-41 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC Section 112, Second Paragraph, set forth in the present Office Action.

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 21-26, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-41 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner allow claims 21-26, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-41.

35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejection, Second Paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 21-26, 28, 34, 36, 38 and 41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph, as being indefinite for allegedly failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 21-26, 28, 34, 36, 38 and 41 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of these claims be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejection, Second Paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 21-26, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for allegedly omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements.

App. No. 10/728,962
BEV/wlr

- 6 -

Dkt. No. 42P07941C

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 21-26, 28-29, 32-34 and 36-41 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of these claims be withdrawn.

Applicant Interview Summary

This Applicant Interview Summary is filed in response to the Interview conducted with the Examiner in the above-identified case in accordance with 37 CFR1.133 and MPEP 713.04.

The time and date of the interview was in the afternoon on 4/21/08. The participants in the interview were Chun Kuan Lee (Examiner) and Brent Vecchia (Representative). The interview was telephonic. The Examiner phoned Representative.

Applicants previously faxed the Examiner a set of proposed claim amendments and asked the Examiner to review the proposed claim amendments to make sure that they fully addressed the 35 USC 112 rejections in the present Office Action. After talking with the Examiner, it is the Applicants understanding that the claim amendments presented herein fully address these 35 USC 112 rejections. No specific prior art was discussed.

No fee is believed to be due. However, please charge any needed extension of time or other needed fees to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 23 2008

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending patentably define the subject invention over the cited art of record and are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn and the claims be allowed at the earliest possible date.

Request For Telephone Interview

The Examiner is invited to call Brent E. Vecchia at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request For An Extension Of Time

The Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 for such an extension.

Charge Our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 4/23/08

By Brent E. Vecchia

Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. 48,011

Tel.: (303) 740-1980 (Mountain Time)

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040

App. No. 10/728,962
BEV/wlr

- 8 -

Dkt. No. 42P07941C